

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,913	01/05/2004	Jon B. Schneider	25815-10901	1982
75	90 07/14/2006		EXAM	INER
Law Offices of Jerome J. Norris			GELLNER, JEFFREY L	
Suite 305 1901 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006			3643	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/750,913	SCHNEIDER, JON B.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey L. Geliner	3643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication		correspondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE STATE OF THE	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on .	28 April 2006.				
		This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	☑ Claim(s) <u>14, 16</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur		a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage			
	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not receive	ved.			
Attachme-	tio)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	(B/08) 5) \(\bigcup \) Notice of Informal (6) \(\bigcup \) Other: \(\bigcup\).	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Examiner withdraws the requirement for election of species because Applicant in the Response received 28 April 2006 has admitted that strontium ferrite and barium ferrite are not patentably distinct and similarly that iron and magnetite are not patentably distinct at page 2 of the Response. Examiner takes the language of "not patentably distinct" to mean obvious variations of one another.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mauldin et al. (US 6,660,242 B2).

As to claims 14 and 16, Mauldin et al. discloses a mixture that is capable of being a mulch of self-coherent particulates of ceramic material of barium or strontium ferrite (col. 4 lines 38-42; col. 7 lines 5-6) and iron or magentite ("ferric oxide" of col. 4 lines 38-42; col. 7 lines 5-6). Not disclosed are the ceramic particles from 1-25 mm and at least 50% of the mixture. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the mixture of Mauldin et al. by making the ceramic particles from 1-25 mm and at least 50% of the mixture depending upon the uses and needs of the mixture.

Art Unit: 3643

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Davis and Adel et al. disclose in the prior art various magnetic particles.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose telephone number is 571.272.6887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-4:00, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,913

Art Unit: 3643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571.272.6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey L. Gellner Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643 Page 4